

SERVICE PROGRAM

Solar Module Factory Platform Management

Owner-Side Solar Module Factory Platform Management Program

BEIREK LLC - THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT COMPANY

An owner-side integration program that connects the factory investment thesis, product and technology choices, qualified sourcing, engineering, procurement and construction (EPC), installation and commissioning, production control, quality evidence, funding, and commercial capacity through evidence-based decision gates.

Equipment arrival is not an investment outcome. The factory becomes ready for controlled operation only when market requirements, technical scope, materials, utilities, people, quality evidence, cash, and buyer obligations pass defined acceptance criteria together.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

I. Owner authorization begins with an integrated factory thesis and explicit entry conditions

I.1 Service definition and the factory-platform thesis

Solar Module Factory Platform Management is designed for investors and manufacturers that need to establish, relocate, recover, expand, or professionalize a solar module operation without allowing machinery procurement to become the investment thesis. The service treats the factory as an integrated production, sourcing, quality, financing, sales, documentation, and governance system. Capacity is authorized only after the intended product, customer, technology, supply chain, utilities, working capital, acceptance evidence, and commercial obligations are sufficiently defined.

The program begins with the owner's business and investment logic. It establishes which module families the factory is expected to produce, for which buyer segments, under which standards and contractual conditions, with what realistic material and operating model. Nominal line capacity is separated from sellable output. Changeovers, product mix, yield, planned and unplanned downtime, qualification lots, rework, scrap, maintenance, operator learning, and customer-specific documentation all affect effective capacity and cash.

BEIREK manages the connection among investor decisions, designers, the EPC contractor, equipment and material suppliers, logistics providers, installation teams, operations, quality and certification specialists, lenders, OEM customers, and buyers. This is an employer/factory-owner-side project management role. It does not absorb the design liability of engineers, the performance obligations of suppliers or contractors, the certification authority of laboratories, the legal or tax responsibility of qualified advisers, or the operating authority of factory management.

The scope is organized around decision gates rather than a catalog of machines. Major commitments pass through an approved product and market basis, defined technical interfaces, qualified suppliers, sourced cost and cash assumptions, site and utility readiness, factory acceptance testing (FAT), site acceptance testing (SAT), controlled commissioning, production ramp-up, quality evidence, and commercial-release criteria. A gap in one workstream is not hidden by progress in another.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

I. Owner authorization begins with an integrated factory thesis and explicit entry conditions

CONTINUED · 02

The service is accepted when the client can operate the factory program through traceable sources, named owners, controlled interfaces, current risks, staged commitments, and defined stop or remediation criteria. BEIREK does not guarantee project completion dates, plant output, overall equipment effectiveness (OEE), yield, product certification, financing, buyer acceptance, revenue, margins, or warranty performance.

1.2 Suitable investors and unsuitable engagements

The program is suitable for an investor or manufacturer with an identifiable project sponsor, a plausible target market, authority to share technical and commercial information, access to the proposed site or operating factory, and willingness to use formal decision and acceptance gates. It can support a greenfield facility, a brown-field integration, a relocated line, a restart after interruption, a technology or product conversion, an automation program, or a factory that needs stronger sourcing, quality, and commercial governance.

Early-stage projects may enter before the full product and financing case is complete, provided uncertainty is stated and spending remains proportional to evidence. A project with no final site, incomplete utility data, or an unverified buyer thesis does not move directly to major equipment orders. The program first closes or bounds the missing decisions. An operating factory may enter through a diagnostic and stabilization path focused on constraints, material control, quality evidence, OEE definitions, warranty exposure, and buyer commitments.

The engagement is unsuitable when the expected output is merely the lowest machinery quotation, a supplier introduction list, an unsupported claim of access to a fixed number of suppliers, a guaranteed factory completion date, a guaranteed output or yield, certification assurance, financing assurance, or a promise of sales. It is also unsuitable when management refuses to disclose material contracts, defects, cash constraints, utility conditions, supplier dependencies, or warranty events required for the decision.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

I. Owner authorization begins with an integrated factory thesis and explicit entry conditions

CONTINUED · 03

The client must appoint an authorized sponsor and provide timely access to site information, product requirements, technical documents, contracts, supplier communication, budget and funding assumptions, operating data, quality records, sales commitments, and relevant advisers. Data may be incomplete; it may not be represented as complete. Assumptions carry an owner, source, information date, sensitivity, and validation action.

Suitability is accepted through a charter that defines project purpose, product and market hypotheses, site and technology status, current commitments, decision rights, source availability, critical adviser needs, known constraints, and the first no-go conditions. BEIREK may recommend a bounded diagnostic, pilot, retest, or remediation phase before broader implementation authority is released.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

2. Product-market evidence and technology choices determine investable capacity

2.1 Product-market and capacity-investment logic

The factory business case starts with sellable and documentable products, not with the nameplate capacity of a line. Target customers may include utility-scale project buyers, commercial and industrial distributors, OEM or private-label partners, regional wholesalers, installers, or selected off-grid and adjacent-product channels. Each segment can require different module dimensions, cell technology, electrical characteristics, bill of materials (BOM), certification scope, packaging, traceability, warranty, credit terms, and technical files.

The product-market matrix connects buyer problem and procurement criteria to product configuration, evidence, price, volume, delivery pattern, and payment behavior. Expressions of interest are separated from technical approval, binding order, accepted delivery, invoicing, and collected cash. A large potential market does not by itself justify a line configuration. The proposed product must be producible with the selected equipment, materials, skills, utilities, quality controls, and certification plan.

Capacity is modeled at several levels. Nameplate capacity describes the supplier's rated condition. Technical capacity reflects product mix and line balance. Available capacity incorporates planned time, changeovers, maintenance, and staffing. Good-output capacity further accounts for yield, rework, scrap, and qualification lots. Sellable capacity is limited again by certification, documentation, buyer approval, packaging, logistics, and working capital. These levels are not combined into one optimistic figure.

The investment model links building and utilities, line and laboratory equipment, installation, spares and tooling, certification, training, initial material, ramp-up losses, warranty reserve, taxes and duties, logistics, financing cost, and working capital. Material cost and BOM exposure are connected to pricing and customer contracts. A capacity investment that appears economical before cash timing, product changes, or quality loss may become unsuitable after these constraints are included.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

2. Product-market evidence and technology choices determine investable capacity

CONTINUED · 02

The base, constrained, and accelerated cases state product mix, operating days, shift pattern, changeover, yield, downtime, material availability, collection timing, and certification assumptions. No case is described as a forecast guarantee. The investment gate identifies the evidence required before site commitment, long-lead equipment, automation, inventory, or staffing is released. Acceptance requires the selected capacity to reconcile with target products, qualified demand, sustainable production conditions, material and utility availability, working capital, and downside actions.

2.2 Technology selection and product roadmap

Technology selection governs more than module power. Cell format and technology, interconnection method, module dimensions, glass and backsheet configuration, encapsulation, frame, junction box, electrical layout, mechanical load, fire behavior, climate exposure, and buyer documentation all affect machinery, tooling, factory layout, process windows, materials, certification, service, and warranty. TOPCon, HJT, or another architecture is retained by its accepted industry name; no technology is selected because it appears newer in a supplier presentation.

The product roadmap separates the approved launch configuration, validated variants, development options, and excluded combinations. Each proposed change is tested for target-buyer value, BOM availability, line compatibility, tooling, cycle time, quality risk, certification impact, documentation, service capability, working capital, and phase-out exposure. The roadmap has freeze points before procurement, FAT, certification lots, and commercial release. Changes after a freeze point require a recorded cost, schedule, evidence, and inventory decision.

Equipment specifications define operating ranges rather than one nominal product. Cell and module dimensions, busbar or interconnection configuration, glass thickness, frame geometry, junction-box placement, process temperatures, curing, test methods, material tolerances, product changeover, data capture, recipe control, and maintenance access are included where relevant. Supplier exceptions and future-upgrade claims are not treated as compliant unless evidenced and contractually assigned.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

2. Product-market evidence and technology choices determine investable capacity

CONTINUED · 03

Technology risk is managed through reference installations, sample production, engineering review, FAT protocols, spare and service availability, software and data access, intellectual-property conditions, and obsolescence planning. Reference claims are checked for comparable product, line configuration, operating period, and ownership permission. A laboratory result does not establish stable industrial output; an installed line does not establish buyer acceptance.

Outputs include the product and technology decision matrix, approved roadmap, equipment compatibility register, design-freeze record, test and evidence plan, and change-control route. Acceptance requires each launch product to have a coherent market purpose, BOM, process route, certification basis, quality plan, documentation set, capacity effect, and accountable technical owner.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

3. Qualified sourcing starts with verifiable suppliers and enforceable obligations

3.1 Supplier market and China sourcing strategy

Solar module sourcing is a structured industrial market, not a contact list. Cells, glass, encapsulants, backsheets, frames, junction boxes, ribbon, sealants, labels, packaging, consumables, tooling, spares, laboratory equipment, production machinery, and services have different qualification and continuity risks. China may be a major source for several categories, but country of origin does not replace entity, factory, product, capacity, quality, sanctions, logistics, or contract verification.

The sourcing strategy begins with the approved product and BOM. Each category is classified by technical criticality, spend, number of credible sources, switching cost, lead time, qualification period, price volatility, minimum order, shelf life, logistics sensitivity, and effect on certification or warranty. The market universe is built from verifiable entities and capabilities. The program makes no unsupported claim about the number of available or managed suppliers.

Dual or alternative sourcing is planned where risk and economics justify it. Alternatives must be compatible with process windows, certification scope, buyer approval, and inventory rules. A backup supplier that still requires months of qualification is not immediately available capacity. Strategic inventory is evaluated against shelf life, cash, obsolescence, storage control, insurance, and quality risk.

Outputs include category strategies, a verified supplier universe, market-comparison records, source-risk classification, qualification sequence, and continuity options. Acceptance requires sourcing decisions to trace to the product roadmap, current specification, comparable commercial terms, qualification status, working-capital capacity, and an approved response if the preferred source fails.

3.2 Supplier qualification, negotiation, and contracts

Supplier qualification tests whether the contracting entity and producing factory can deliver the required product consistently and respond when performance fails. Corporate registration, beneficial ownership where appropriate, manufacturing location, production capability, quality management, relevant certificates, reference customers, sample results, capacity and allocation, financial and continuity indicators, sub-supplier dependence, change controls, and after-sales capability are reviewed in proportion to risk.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

3. Qualified sourcing starts with verifiable suppliers and enforceable obligations

CONTINUED · 02

Document review is followed by sample, test, remote or on-site verification, and contract controls where material. A certificate is checked for issuer, scope, model, site, standard, validity, and current status. A sample that passes does not approve every future lot. Equipment qualification also reviews technical exceptions, reference lines, FAT capability, software access, spare parts, service response, training, warranty, upgrade path, and the legal entity responsible for performance.

Commercial leverage comes from preparation, alternatives, timing, credible volume, and controlled information—not from overstating demand. Supplier communication is recorded. Side promises and messaging applications do not replace the signed agreement. Contract schedules and technical appendices use the same specification and acceptance logic as engineering, FAT, incoming quality, and buyer documentation.

Qualification status is conditional and time-bound. Material change in ownership, factory, process, sub-supplier, certificate, financial position, quality performance, or geopolitical and logistics conditions triggers review. Outputs include the supplier qualification matrix, normalized bid comparison, negotiation record, approved deviations, contract input package, and ongoing performance review. Acceptance requires the selected supplier to have a verified identity, compliant or explicitly approved technical position, credible delivery capacity, enforceable responsibility assigned by qualified advisers, and defined remedies and requalification triggers.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

4. A controlled BOM and cash plan tie supply decisions to factory economics

4.1 BOM, material control, and supply risk

The BOM is a technical, commercial, quality, and traceability control. It defines the approved material, manufacturer and production site, specification, tolerance, certificate or test requirement, approved alternatives, consumption basis, storage conditions, shelf life, process relationship, customer restrictions, and change authority. Finance and sales use the same controlled BOM basis as procurement, engineering, production, and quality.

Contribution economics reconcile cells, glass, encapsulant, backsheet or rear glass, frames, junction boxes, ribbon, sealant, labels, packaging, freight, duty, insurance, inspection, scrap, rework, warranty reserve, and currency effects. Standard cost is compared with actual consumption and purchase variance. A purchase saving that causes lower yield, slower processing, higher rework, certification changes, or warranty exposure is not accepted as a net benefit.

Material traceability connects supplier lot and incoming result to production batch, machine or process condition, finished module serial or batch identity, test evidence, shipment, buyer, and any later nonconformance or warranty event. The required resolution depends on product, process, customer, and regulatory obligations. Data retained without an ability to retrieve and reconcile it is not effective traceability.

Supply risk covers concentration, qualification lead time, commodity and currency exposure, logistics, import and customs, energy or capacity allocation, shelf life, obsolescence, minimum order, payment, geopolitical restrictions, sub-supplier dependence, and force-majeure response. Acceptance requires the controlled BOM to reconcile with product and certification scope; released material to carry traceable evidence; alternatives to be genuinely qualified; and material, cash, and continuity risks to have owners, thresholds, and actions.

4.2 Supply-chain, facility, and working-capital finance

A module factory requires different funding at different stages. Land or lease, building, utilities, EPC work, machinery, relocation, laboratory and certification, installation, spares, training, initial inventory, ramp-up loss, taxes, duties, VAT timing, receivables, warranty reserve, and debt service do not occur on the same schedule. A complete capital requirement therefore extends beyond machinery quotations.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

4. A controlled BOM and cash plan tie supply decisions to factory economics

CONTINUED · 02

The uses-and-sources schedule is connected to contractual milestones and evidence gates. Equity, shareholder funding, bank facilities, equipment finance, supplier credit, letters of credit, guarantees, customer deposits, receivables facilities, inventory finance, grants, and other lawful instruments may be evaluated with qualified financial, legal, and tax advisers. BEIREK organizes assumptions, conditions, dependencies, and implementation; it does not provide investment advice, arrange securities, or guarantee financing.

Working capital is modeled from material order and prepayment through production, quality release, shipment, customer acceptance, invoicing, retention, collection, tax, and supplier settlement. Product mix, minimum orders, lead times, safety stock, payment terms, shipment size, Incoterms, buyer credit, currency, and claim or rejection delays are included. Growth can increase the cash gap even where accounting contribution is positive.

Facility drawdown conditions must match the project schedule. A lender may require permits, equity contribution, contracts, insurance, valuation, technical review, collateral, guarantees, or certification evidence before funds are available. These conditions are not assumed complete. Delayed financing scenarios identify which orders, hires, construction packages, inventory, or market commitments must be held and which safety or preservation work must continue.

Buyer and supplier contracts can support finance only when their obligations, payment mechanisms, acceptance, termination, concentration, and enforceability have been reviewed by the responsible experts. A nonbinding forecast is not financed receivable. Acceptance requires the cost and cash model to reconcile with controlled scope, contracts, schedule, downside cases, and authorized funding; and each major commitment to have a defined source and timing of cash rather than an assumed future facility.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

5. EPC interfaces and site readiness prevent scope gaps from reaching installation

5.1 EPC scope, interfaces, and responsibility matrix

The engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) scope translates the approved factory basis into coordinated design, procurement, construction, installation support, testing, documentation, and handover obligations. The most material early risk is an unassigned interface that every party assumes another party owns.

The design basis records products, capacity cases, site conditions, codes and standards, process route, equipment loads, layout, material and people flow, utilities, environmental conditions, fire and life safety, electrical distribution, compressed air, water and drainage, HVAC, gases where required, data, security, laboratories, warehouses, maintenance, offices, expansion allowances, and acceptance principles. Qualified engineers own technical calculations and statutory compliance.

Change control preserves the approved basis. A design or equipment change states reason, technical impact, safety and permit implications, cost, schedule, procurement, certification, capacity, quality, operation, documentation, and claim position before approval. Site instruction and verbal agreement do not silently modify responsibility. Qualified advisers interpret contractual rights and remedies.

Outputs include the design-basis register, EPC scope breakdown, responsibility and interface matrix, review calendar, submittal register, change system, testing strategy, and handover index. Acceptance requires no material package to remain ownerless, design inputs to reconcile with equipment and product requirements, statutory and professional reviews to be assigned, and every interface to have a test and closure record.

5.2 Relocation, site readiness, logistics, and installation

Relocating an existing line is an engineering and preservation project, not ordinary freight. The baseline records equipment identity, configuration, software and recipes, condition, utilities, calibration, spares, tooling, documents, data backup, open defects, and performance before dismantling. The dismantling method identifies isolation, tagging, disconnection, preservation, packing, lifting, transport orientation, humidity and shock controls, customs, insurance, storage, and chain of custody.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

5. EPC interfaces and site readiness prevent scope gaps from reaching installation

CONTINUED · 02

The receiving site must be ready for access, unloading, storage, foundations, floors, roof and envelope, utilities, fire and life safety, environmental control, security, network, waste routes, laboratories, welfare facilities, and required permits. Readiness is evidenced by inspection and test, not schedule reporting. Equipment does not arrive merely because the supplier's shipping slot is available if safe storage and installation conditions are absent.

Logistics control records package identity, dimensions, weight, lifting points, route survey, permits, carrier, insurance, container loading, seals, transport condition, arrival inspection, damage evidence, quarantine, customs, and delivery to the installation position. Damage reporting preserves the contractual and insurance evidence trail before unpacking or repair changes the condition. Legal and insurance decisions remain with responsible parties.

Installation uses approved drawings, method statements, risk assessments, competent teams, calibrated tools, lifting plans, foundations and anchors, alignment and leveling, utility connections, guards, interlocks, software and data configuration, cleanliness, torque and inspection records, and punch lists. Supplier presence does not remove the contractor's or owner's assigned responsibilities. Energization and trial operation have separate authority and safety prerequisites.

Outputs include the baseline and dismantling record, preservation and logistics plan, site-readiness checklist, installation work packages, inspection and test plan, punch list, and damage or exception log. Acceptance requires every asset to be traceable from origin to installed position, site prerequisites to be evidenced, utilities to meet defined conditions, installation records to be complete, and unresolved defects to remain visible with owner and disposition.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

6. FAT, SAT, and commissioning evidence convert installed assets into accepted capacity

6.1 FAT, SAT, commissioning, and acceptance

Factory acceptance testing (FAT) tests equipment at the supplier location before shipment against the approved specification and protocol. Site acceptance testing (SAT) tests the installed equipment and its interfaces under site conditions. Commissioning is the controlled transition from installed assets to an operable production system. These stages are distinct; passing one does not imply the others have passed.

SAT confirms installation, utilities, safety, interlocks, communications, data, recipe access, material flow, test equipment, environmental conditions, interfaces, and agreed production functions. Site-caused and supplier-caused deviations are separated by evidence rather than negotiation pressure. Open punch items are classified by safety, operation, quality, documentation, and commercial impact.

Commissioning includes safe energization, dry and wet trials as applicable, equipment and line integration, operator and maintenance training, work instructions, recipe and change control, initial material lots, quality checks, abnormal-condition response, spare readiness, and controlled handover. Production output during commissioning is not automatically saleable. Product disposition follows quality, certification, and customer requirements.

Acceptance is defined before procurement and shipment. It identifies the tested configuration, tolerances, duration, sample and repeat rules, acceptable exclusions, documents, training, spares, warranties, performance obligations, remedies, and authorized signatories. BEIREK manages the record and gate; technical experts validate tests, the contracting parties exercise rights, and the client accepts within its authority. Acceptance requires traceable protocols, raw results, deviations, retests, punch closure, approved residual items, and a clear transition to ramp-up responsibility.

6.2 Production ramp-up and capacity validation

Production ramp-up establishes stable work and evidence after commissioning. It does not begin at full nominal speed. The ramp plan progresses through defined products, materials, shifts, operators, batch sizes, and duration while protecting safety, equipment condition, process control, quality, traceability, and customer obligations. Each step has entry evidence, target operating range, hold criteria, response owner, and release authority.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

6. FAT, SAT, and commissioning evidence convert installed assets into accepted capacity

CONTINUED · 02

The baseline includes installed configuration, software and recipes, calibrated test equipment, approved BOM, qualified material lots, trained roles, work and maintenance instructions, spare and consumable readiness, data definitions, quality plan, and open punch items. If a prerequisite is incomplete, the effect and temporary control are approved. An unresolved safety, utility, traceability, or critical-quality condition stops progression.

Capacity validation distinguishes instantaneous cycle demonstration, sustained station capacity, balanced-line capacity, good-output capacity, and sellable output. The test duration, product mix, material lots, shift conditions, planned stops, failure treatment, rework, scrap, quality sampling, and excluded time are documented. Supplier performance obligations are measured only through the contractually approved method; operating improvement targets use their own management basis.

Outputs include the ramp plan, readiness checklist, shift and batch records, loss and deviation register, capacity-validation protocol, training evidence, and release decision. Acceptance requires the client team to reproduce the process under defined conditions, explain losses through sourced data, protect quality and traceability, and operate a controlled response when results fall outside tolerance.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

7. The production system connects capacity evidence to quality, traceability, and certification scope

7.1 Bottleneck, automation, and OEE system

Line output is governed by the active constraint and the interaction among stations. Cell stringing, layup, lamination, trimming, framing, junction-box work, curing, testing, sorting, and packing may each become the constraint depending on product, material, recipe, staffing, changeover, maintenance, and quality behavior. Average machine utilization can hide queues, starvation, blocked flow, and repeated micro-stops.

Overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) is governed by explicit definitions of planned production time, availability, performance, and quality. Planned shutdowns, breaks, changeovers, no-material periods, engineering trials, maintenance, speed standards, rework, and quality loss are classified consistently. OEE is not compared across periods or factories unless definitions and product context are compatible. The document does not promise an OEE improvement.

The loss tree connects event, duration or quantity, station, product, material lot, shift, reason, evidence, owner, and corrective action. Operator observations are important but are reconciled with machine, maintenance, quality, and production records. Unknown and unclassified losses remain visible. Management targets the dominant system loss instead of distributing unrelated improvement actions across every machine.

Automation is evaluated after the work, constraint, quality risk, data, maintenance, safety, product range, and economic case are understood. Options include method redesign, fixture or tooling, error proofing, buffer control, material presentation, software, sensor and data improvement, partial automation, or a new machine. The case includes CAPEX, integration, downtime, ramp, spare and skill requirements, quality validation, obsolescence, and the next likely bottleneck.

Acceptance requires a consistent OEE and loss dictionary, time-stamped constraint evidence, prioritized corrective actions, before-and-after measures using the same basis, and investment gates tied to good output, quality, cash, and the total line—not an isolated equipment speed.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

7. The production system connects capacity evidence to quality, traceability, and certification scope

CONTINUED · 02

7.2 Production data, quality, and traceability

The factory data model connects approved product and BOM versions, supplier lots, incoming release, production order, recipe, equipment and station, operator or role, time, process parameters, inspection and test results, rework, scrap, finished identity, packaging, shipment, buyer, and any later non-conformance. The required resolution is defined by product, contract, certification, regulation, and warranty exposure.

Quality control is risk-based and spans supplier qualification, incoming material, storage, process setup, first article, in-process checks, electroluminescence inspection, electrical and safety tests, visual and dimensional inspection, sampling, final release, packaging, transport, and customer feedback as applicable. Qualified technical and certification experts determine methods, standards, sampling, and test validity. BEIREK manages integration and closure.

Nonconforming material and product are identified, segregated, contained, evaluated, and dispositioned by authorized roles. Rework and concession retain original and revised evidence. Production urgency does not permit undocumented use. Defect and loss data are connected to root cause, supplier response, process change, training, maintenance, customer notification where required, and effectiveness verification.

Traceability is tested through backward and forward drills. A selected finished module or shipment must lead to relevant production, test, material, and release evidence; a selected supplier lot must identify affected production and customers within the required time. Acceptance requires controlled definitions, accessible records, successful drills, secure and appropriate retention, visible data-quality gaps, and decisions that can be reproduced without manual reconstruction by one individual.

7.3 Certification and bankability evidence

Certification is a product and project workstream, not a final administrative task. The plan identifies target markets and buyers, applicable standards and local requirements, product families and variants, critical BOM and design elements, sample and production-lot rules, laboratory and certification-body roles, factory inspection, document requirements, change controls, surveillance, renewal, timing, cost, and dependencies. Only authorized bodies determine certification status.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

7. The production system connects capacity evidence to quality, traceability, and certification scope

CONTINUED · 03

The certification matrix maps each marketed configuration to the applicable certificate or test basis and its limits. A certificate for a different model, BOM, manufacturing site, or expired scope is not transferred by similarity. Material, design, supplier, process, site, or labeling changes are reviewed for certification effect before implementation. Sales and documentation use the current approved scope.

Financeability and perceived reliability are context-dependent judgments by lenders, investors, buyers, insurers, advisers, and technical reviewers. The program avoids translating “bankability” into a guaranteed status. Instead it builds the evidence such parties may review: corporate and factory governance, technology and supplier history, controlled BOM, valid certification, production and quality records, traceability, independent testing where required, warranty terms and reserves, service capability, financial strength, buyer references with permission, and transparent limitations.

Acceptance requires the product-certification plan to align with the roadmap and BOM, critical changes to trigger review, evidence packages to reconcile with actual factory records, and the client to distinguish certification facts, third-party opinions, buyer decisions, and its own claims. BEIREK does not certify products, provide lender assurance, or guarantee buyer or insurer acceptance.

7.4 Warranty, quality claims, and root cause

Warranty exposure begins in product design, material selection, supplier contracts, process control, testing, packaging, installation assumptions, documentation, and sales terms. It is not managed only after a return. OEM and branded products may allocate responsibilities differently among the factory, brand owner, distributor, installer, system designer, and material suppliers; qualified legal and technical advisers confirm the contractual and causation position.

The warranty architecture defines product and performance statements, covered and excluded conditions, start and duration, claimant and evidence requirements, inspection, containment, return or field action, testing, decision authority, remedy, supplier recovery, reserve, reporting, and dispute route. Marketing, technical data sheets, certificates, manuals, quotations, and contracts must not create inconsistent commitments.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

7. The production system connects capacity evidence to quality, traceability, and certification scope

CONTINUED · 04

A customer quality claim is registered with product identity, buyer, site and operating context, installation information where relevant, event and discovery dates, photos and data, affected quantity, safety or systemic risk, shipment and production records, tests, material lots, and current containment. Quality complaints, warranty applications, contractual demands, and other assertions remain distinct so that one ambiguous category does not obscure ownership or response obligations.

Root-cause analysis distinguishes symptom, failure mode, physical cause, escape point, systemic cause, and contributing conditions. It uses appropriate technical methods and qualified expertise. The response may require stock quarantine, production hold, customer communication, field inspection, supplier action, process or design change, retraining, expanded testing, reserve adjustment, or certification review. Corrective action is closed only after effectiveness is evidenced.

Acceptance requires end-to-end traceability, timely containment, authorized customer communication, professional investigation, consistent contract and technical records, financial visibility, supplier recovery where supported, and verified corrective-action effectiveness. BEIREK coordinates the system; it does not determine legal liability, issue an independent expert opinion, or guarantee the absence of future failures.

7.5 R&D, product design, and technology sourcing

R&D converts a documented customer or operating need into a controlled product or process change. It is not an unrestricted list of adjacent solar ideas. The portfolio separates mandatory compliance or obsolescence work, customer and cost improvements, process and quality improvements, new module platforms, and adjacent products. Each initiative has a problem statement, target user, technical hypothesis, business rationale, evidence plan, resources, risks, stage, and stop criterion.

Product development progresses through requirements, concept, feasibility, design inputs, prototype or sample, supplier and material qualification, design verification, manufacturing validation, certification impact, field or customer validation where appropriate, documentation, service readiness, commercial approval, and release. Design outputs reconcile with BOM, drawings, specifications, process windows, test methods, labeling, packaging, manuals, warranty, and change control.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

7. The production system connects capacity evidence to quality, traceability, and certification scope

CONTINUED · 05

Technology sourcing covers machinery, tooling, materials, software, licenses, data rights, know-how, engineering support, and collaboration. Supplier claims are tested through references, samples, trials, technical exceptions, ownership and use rights, cybersecurity and access where relevant, service, spares, upgrade, and exit conditions. Agreements require qualified legal, tax, and intellectual-property review.

Manufacturing readiness asks whether the factory can produce the design repeatedly under approved tolerances with available materials, equipment, skills, data, quality controls, and capacity. A prototype that succeeds through extraordinary manual intervention is not release evidence. Pilot lots record yield, cycle, defect, rework, test, stability, and process learning without converting early results into a guarantee.

Outputs include the R&D portfolio, design and development plan, requirements and traceability matrix, validation record, technology-source comparison, change file, and release package. Acceptance requires approved design inputs, verified outputs, manufacturing and certification readiness, controlled residual risks, service and warranty preparation, and a client owner capable of maintaining the released configuration.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

8. Commercial capacity requires executable products, OEM terms, and buyer obligations

8.1 Adjacent products and capacity-use options

Adjacent products can broaden customer value or use commercial and technical capabilities beyond module output, but they do not automatically improve factory economics. Solar pumps, off-grid or home systems, mounting and carport structures, selected charging solutions, or other products may require different design responsibility, certifications, suppliers, software, batteries or power electronics, installation competence, service, warranty, working capital, and sales channels.

Each option is evaluated against customer problem, target segment, route to market, strategic fit, shared and new capabilities, product responsibility, unit and contribution economics, cash cycle, capacity use, certification, safety, data, service, warranty, partner dependence, and downside. Using available module capacity is not sufficient if the combined system creates unmanaged liability or negative contribution.

The make, buy, license, partner, OEM, or joint venture (JV) choice is explicit. A sourced product may reduce development time but can increase supplier, quality, brand, service, and contract exposure. Local assembly may create customs or market benefits but requires truthful origin and responsibility statements. Qualified advisers confirm regulatory, product-liability, tax, customs, and contractual conditions.

Options enter through bounded pilots with defined customer and technical evidence. The factory does not commit broad inventory, tooling, channel rights, or branded warranty before the pilot passes. Existing production, quality, engineering, and management capacity are protected. Opportunity cost is visible when an adjacent product competes with the core module business for working capital or scarce expertise.

Acceptance requires each active option to have a verified customer case, approved product responsibility, qualified supply and service model, certification and quality plan, contribution and cash case, capacity allocation, gate owner, and stop condition. BEIREK does not guarantee product adoption, margin, regulatory approval, or partner performance.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

8. Commercial capacity requires executable products, OEM terms, and buyer obligations

CONTINUED · 02

8.2 OEM, sales, exports, and buyer agreements

Commercial planning converts approved factory capability into qualified demand and executable contracts. Buyer segmentation distinguishes module specification, certification and documentation, annual and shipment volume, call-off behavior, customization, packaging, logistics, quality and audit rights, credit, payment, warranty, market access, and service requirements. A generic export list cannot establish commercial fit.

OEM production is a responsibility-allocation system. The agreement identifies product and BOM authority, approved manufacturing site, label and trademark use, forecasts and minimums, order and cancellation, material ownership, design changes, certification, quality release, audit, traceability, technical files, packaging, delivery, acceptance, warranty, field events, recalls, confidentiality, intellectual property, territory, customer contact, price adjustment, payment, termination, and residual stock. Qualified legal, tax, customs, and technical advisers own their opinions.

Export readiness includes product and labeling requirements, technical documentation, origin and customs, sanctions and restricted-party controls where applicable, taxes and duties, currency, Incoterms, freight and insurance, packaging, destination inspection, distributor or importer roles, after-sales response, and data retention. Responsible professionals determine legal and regulatory requirements. BEIREK integrates the resulting conditions into the operating plan.

Sales stages use evidence and capacity: target fit, technical requirement, buyer authority, product compatibility, qualification, sample or audit, commercial terms, contract readiness, production slot, shipment, acceptance, and collection. Acceptance requires every committed order to reconcile with an approved product, certification and document scope, capacity, materials, quality release, cash, credit, logistics, and warranty responsibility; and nonstandard work to pass an authorized engineering and commercial gate.

8.3 Brand, product documentation, and market trust

Factory trust is built through consistent products, evidence, documents, deliveries, and responses to deviations. A brand name or premium visual identity cannot compensate for unstable BOMs, weak traceability, expired certificates, unsupported performance statements, inconsistent technical data sheets, or unresolved quality events. Communication is therefore controlled by the same product and evidence system used by engineering, quality, sales, and warranty.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

8. Commercial capacity requires executable products, OEM terms, and buyer obligations

CONTINUED · 03

The product-document set may include approved technical data sheets, drawings, electrical and mechanical characteristics, BOM and configuration references, certificates and test reports within their permitted use, installation and handling instructions, packaging and labeling, traceability explanation, declaration and compliance documents, warranty terms, quality and factory information, sustainability or origin evidence where substantiated, and controlled customer-specific files. The applicable set depends on market and buyer requirements.

Each material claim has a source, owner, information date, product and factory scope, method, limitation, approval, version, and permitted channel. Output, tolerance, durability, degradation, load, fire, sustainability, origin, capacity, reference, and reliability statements are not generalized beyond their evidence. Third-party marks and customer names require permission. A certificate logo is not recreated or used outside authorization.

Acceptance requires a current product-document index, source-controlled claims, approved ownership and release, bilingual or market adaptations that preserve technical meaning, reconciliation with certification and actual production, and a client process for updating and withdrawing content. The service does not guarantee brand preference, category position, buyer confidence, or commercial results.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

9. Accountable governance keeps partners, interfaces, and factory decisions aligned

9.1 Partnership, factory governance, and program control

Factory projects involve investors, shareholders, lenders, the EPC contractor, designers, equipment and material suppliers, operators, quality and certification experts, OEM partners, buyers, logistics providers, insurers, and authorities. Governance defines how their different obligations and decisions connect without allowing owner-side coordination to absorb professional or contractual responsibility.

The governance charter identifies sponsor, project director, workstream owners, design and technical authorities, commercial and finance owners, quality release, safety authority, change board, gate approvers, advisers, and escalation. Recommendation, review, approval, execution, verification, and acceptance are distinct. Conflict of interest is disclosed where a supplier or partner advises on a decision from which it benefits.

Partnership and joint venture (JV) governance adds reserved matters, funding obligations, board and management authority, business plan, related-party procurement, technology and IP, customer ownership, dividend and reinvestment, reporting, audit, deadlock, default, transfer, and exit conditions as advised by qualified legal and tax professionals. BEIREK can manage implementation dependencies but does not provide shareholder or legal opinions.

Weekly controls resolve active work and interfaces; monthly controls assess scope, schedule, cost, cash, risk, procurement, and operating readiness; gates authorize irreversible commitments and stage transitions. Acceptance requires timely decisions, traceable authority, reconciled source records, controlled changes, risk ownership, closed actions, and a client team able to operate the governance after handover.

9.2 BEIREK, investor, contractor, and adviser responsibilities

BEIREK acts as the employer/factory-owner-side program integrator. It structures the charter and decision system, coordinates workstreams and advisers, manages source and interface registers, prepares gates, monitors scope, schedule, cost, cash, risks, changes and acceptance, tests cross-functional consistency, and prepares handover. It does not become the designer, EPC contractor, equipment manufacturer, certification body, factory operator, lender, broker, legal or tax adviser, auditor, insurer, or buyer.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

9. Accountable governance keeps partners, interfaces, and factory decisions aligned

CONTINUED · 02

The investor or client owns the business purpose, budget and funding decisions, appointments, site and corporate authority, product and market approvals, major commitments, acceptance within its contract, operational leadership, and final disclosure. It supplies complete and timely information to the extent available, identifies uncertainty, provides access, appoints competent roles, retains advisers, and acts on safety, legal, financial, and technical requirements.

Designers and engineers own their professional calculations, designs, specifications, reviews, statutory duties, and technical opinions. The EPC contractor and other contractors own their contracted scope, means and methods, coordination, safety, quality, schedule, testing, documentation, warranties, and remedies. Equipment and material suppliers own contracted specifications, production, evidence, delivery, service, and warranty. The allocation is governed by actual agreements and applicable law, as interpreted by qualified advisers.

Factory management owns staffing, safe operation, production, maintenance, quality execution, inventory, data, customer delivery, and continuous improvement after handover. Certification bodies and laboratories own their tests and decisions. Legal, tax, customs, finance, insurance, environmental, safety, cybersecurity, and other specialists own their respective advice. Lenders and buyers make independent decisions.

The responsibility matrix records activity, input, recommendation, review, approval, execution, verification, acceptance, source, timing, and escalation. It is retested at product freeze, procurement, design release, FAT, shipment, energization, SAT, commissioning, stable-output release, and commercial release. Acceptance requires every material responsibility to have one accountable party, dependencies to be acknowledged, and no BEIREK communication to imply authority or assurance it does not hold.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

10. The program advances through evidence-based gates and begins with an investment-readiness decision

10.1 Timeline, decision gates, and deliverables

The schedule is built from the project's actual starting condition and dependencies. A greenfield project may progress through thesis and feasibility, product and technology freeze, site and design basis, sourcing and finance, EPC and permits, equipment production and FAT, construction and utilities, logistics and installation, SAT and commissioning, ramp-up, certification evidence, and commercial release. Relocation, recovery, and operating-factory improvement use different sequences.

Gate 1 approves the charter, target products, market logic, capacity cases, site assumptions, funding range, critical advisers, and no-go conditions. Gate 2 freezes the launch technology, BOM basis, design inputs, sourcing strategy, EPC interfaces, cost and cash baseline, and procurement authority. Gate 3 releases shipment and site installation after FAT disposition, site readiness, logistics, insurance, and open-risk review.

Gate 4 authorizes energization, SAT, and commissioning after installation, utilities, safety, documentation, competent teams, materials, and test protocols are ready. Gate 5 releases controlled ramp-up after SAT disposition and operating prerequisites. Gate 6 accepts stable-output and commercial release after capacity, quality, traceability, certification scope, documentation, warranty, inventory, logistics, customer, and cash controls pass their criteria. Each gate can proceed, proceed conditionally, hold, remediate, or stop.

Principal deliverables include the factory thesis and charter; product-market, technology, capacity, and investment basis; supplier and BOM controls; finance and working-capital model; EPC and responsibility matrices; site, logistics, installation, FAT, SAT and commissioning records; ramp and OEE system; data, quality and traceability architecture; certification and evidence plan; warranty and root-cause system; R&D and adjacent-product gates; OEM, buyer and documentation controls; governance, risk, change, schedule, cost, cash, acceptance, and handover records.

Dates are not promised without approved scope, source conditions, resource availability, permits, supplier commitments, adviser requirements, and client decisions. The schedule records ranges and confidence where appropriate. Acceptance requires the current critical path, owner inputs, gate evidence, long-lead items, risk allowances, cash timing, and decision consequences to be visible; and deliverables to be tested through use rather than file receipt.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

10. The program advances through evidence-based gates and begins with an investment-readiness decision

CONTINUED · 02

10.2 Acceptance criteria, risks, and professional boundaries

Final acceptance does not mean that every future operating risk has disappeared. It means the approved factory platform has passed defined contractual, technical, operating, quality, documentary, commercial, and governance tests; residual items are understood, authorized, owned, timed, and controlled; and the client can operate the system without hidden dependence on BEIREK.

The acceptance index traces every requirement to source, responsible party, test or review method, raw result, deviation, corrective action, retest, approval, document, and residual risk. Contract acceptance, technical readiness, production release, product release, certification status, buyer approval, and financing conditions remain distinct. One cannot be inferred from another.

Principal risks include unsupported demand, product or technology mismatch, unqualified suppliers, BOM and certificate change, logistics damage, incomplete site or utilities, EPC gaps, unsafe installation, failed FAT or SAT, unstable ramp-up, hidden bottlenecks, weak data and traceability, quality escapes, warranty exposure, delayed certification, buyer concentration, working-capital shortfall, funding delay, currency and trade constraints, partner conflict, insufficient skills, and uncontrolled change. Each has source, trigger, impact, current control, residual exposure, owner, action, and gate consequence.

Professional and scope exclusions include technical design or engineering sign-off, contractor means and methods, safety authority, product certification, laboratory assurance, legal and tax advice, customs opinion, environmental or cybersecurity assurance, independent audit, insurance coverage decision, investment advice, securities placement, funding or buyer guarantee, factory operation, recruitment, outsourced procurement or sales unless separately authorized, and guaranteed performance, completion, OEE, yield, margin, warranty, or market outcomes.

Acceptance requires zero unknown ownership for critical interfaces; controlled product, BOM, supplier, design, and document versions; reconciled scope, schedule, cost and cash; traceable FAT, SAT, commissioning and ramp evidence; working quality, data, warranty, risk, change and governance systems; trained client roles; tested handover; and explicit disclosure of every condition that remains dependent on a contractor, expert, authority, lender, supplier, or buyer.

PROGRAM FRAMEWORK

10. The program advances through evidence-based gates and begins with an investment-readiness decision

CONTINUED · 03

10.3 The initial factory-investment readiness gate defines the first authorized work package

The program begins with a bounded readiness gate rather than an immediate commitment to equipment, EPC, or implementation. The client provides the current investment thesis, intended products and markets, site status, capacity assumptions, available equipment and utility information, sourcing position, budget and funding constraints, material commercial commitments, and known technical or regulatory gaps. BEIREK tests whether these inputs form a decisionable starting point and records uncertainty instead of filling it with optimistic assumptions.

The first output is a factory-investment readiness memorandum with a source register, critical-gap list, interface view, and evidence plan. It identifies which decisions can proceed, which require specialist review or new data, which commitments should remain on hold, and which no-go conditions need approval. The memorandum is a decision input, not a feasibility certification, engineering approval, financing opinion, or assurance of market demand.

The first gate closes when the client approves the target decision, accountable sponsor, initial work package, required advisers, source owners, information dates, spending boundary, and next decision gate. The following phase may be product-market validation, technology and BOM definition, site and EPC basis, supplier qualification, or operating-factory stabilization, depending on the verified starting condition.

Technical Appendix

TECHNICAL MODULE

AI. Master data and technical-document register

The register establishes one source and status for the information required to design, procure, install, commission, operate, certify, sell, and support the factory.

Domain	Minimum controlled record	Acceptance evidence
Corporate and authority	Legal entities, sponsor, signatories, advisers, approvals	Current authority and responsibility confirmed
Product and market	Target segments, product families, requirements, buyer conditions	Approved product-market matrix
Technology and BOM	Design inputs, BOM versions, approved sources, process route	Version and compatibility trace
Site and permits	Survey, geotechnical and building data, codes, permits, utilities	Qualified review and current site basis
EPC and equipment	Specifications, drawings, interfaces, submittals, manuals, software	Approved status and exception record
Commercial and finance	Budget, commitments, facilities, orders, payment, cash	Reconciliation to contract and model
Quality and certification	Plans, methods, certificates, test results, changes	Scope, validity, source, and limitation
Operations and maintenance	Work instructions, recipes, training, spares, maintenance	Controlled issue at point of use
Customer and warranty	Data sheets, agreements, shipments, claims, reserves	Product and buyer traceability
Governance	Risks, changes, decisions, actions, gates, acceptance	Owner, due date, evidence, and closure

Each record has an identifier, title, source owner, reviewer, approver, language, version, date, status, confidentiality, applicable product/site/contract, superseded reference, and storage location. Status distinguishes draft, under review, approved, approved with conditions, superseded, rejected, and archived. A file name or shared folder alone is not document control.

The register is tested through a live change. A selected BOM, equipment, utility, or buyer change must identify affected drawings, specifications, certificates, contracts, instructions, test protocols, training, inventory, and customer documents. Acceptance requires current approved information to be accessible at the point of decision and obsolete versions to be withdrawn without erasing history.

TECHNICAL MODULE

AI. Master data and technical-document register

CONTINUED · 02

The document controller performs a scheduled completeness and consistency review with workstream owners. Missing metadata, conflicting approved versions, expired external evidence, broken source links, documents used outside their product or site scope, and records retained only in personal mail are logged as control findings. Critical documents required for safe work, product release, contractual acceptance, or certification are escalated immediately rather than waiting for the next routine review.

Master-data ownership precedes automation. Product codes, material specifications, BOMs, routings, defect codes, stop reasons, units, status, customer requirements, and acceptance states have controlled definitions. Production, quality, warehouse, maintenance, ERP, manufacturing execution, laboratory, and customer records are reconciled through named sources and interfaces. A spreadsheet may be a temporary control; it is not accepted if versions and responsibilities are unknown.

Document change follows product and process change. When a material, design, supplier, site, certificate, warranty, or legal condition changes, affected data sheets, quotations, websites, packaging, buyer submissions, contracts, and archived versions are identified. Superseded documents are withdrawn from active use while distribution history remains traceable.

Handover uses a sample-based retrieval test. Client roles locate the current design basis, one supplier approval, an equipment drawing, a utility test, an FAT deviation, a released work instruction, a certificate-scope record, and one buyer shipment without BEIREK guidance. They then update a controlled field and show the resulting review, approval, distribution, and withdrawal. The register passes only when the organization can maintain both the current truth and its audit trail.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A2. Supplier qualification matrix

The matrix applies a risk-proportional and comparable standard to material, equipment, and service suppliers.

Field	Qualification question	Typical evidence
Entity and factory	Who contracts and who actually produces?	Registration, site, ownership, factory verification
Product compliance	Does the offered item meet the controlled specification?	Datasheet, sample, test, exception list
Quality system	Can lots be produced and traced consistently?	Process, controls, audit, calibration, lot records
Certificate status	Is the evidence valid for item, site, model, and period?	Issuer verification, scope, validity
Capacity and continuity	Can the supplier meet timing and recover from disruption?	Capacity basis, allocation, lead time, continuity plan
Commercial position	Are quotations truly comparable?	Price basis, Incoterms, currency, payment, validity
Contract responsibility	Are change, acceptance, warranty, and remedy assigned?	Approved contract schedules and adviser review
Logistics and storage	Can condition be protected to factory release?	Packaging, route, insurance, shelf-life controls
Service and spares	Can equipment or material issues be supported?	Response, local or remote service, spare plan
Performance review	Does actual delivery preserve approval?	OTIF, defects, response, claims, changes

The matrix records evidence date, reviewer, open issue, risk class, approval status, conditions, approved products and sites, expiry or review date, and requalification trigger. A high overall score cannot cancel a failed critical requirement. Commercial preference cannot release a supplier rejected for identity, safety, specification, certificate, or material quality.

Qualification is sampled through one material and one equipment supplier. The test traces specification to quote, sample, review, contract, incoming or FAT evidence, deviations, and performance. Acceptance requires a reproducible approval rationale, visible limitations, current sources, alternative-source status, and a controlled response when facts change.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A2. Supplier qualification matrix

CONTINUED · 02

Risk class determines review depth and frequency. A product-critical encapsulant, cell, glass, junction box, line machine, or testing system generally requires stronger product, site, process, change, and continuity evidence than noncritical office or indirect supply. The classification is justified rather than assigned to reduce effort. Temporary approval states the exact product, quantity, duration, compensating controls, decision authority, and expiry; it cannot become permanent through repeated extensions.

Market intelligence records price basis, specification, Incoterms, currency, tax and duty assumptions, payment, volume tier, validity, lead time, allocation, energy or commodity exposure, and logistics. Quotations are normalized before comparison. A low price that changes specification, excludes testing, shifts risk, requires excessive prepayment, or cannot be delivered within the production plan is not a lower total cost.

Negotiation uses comparable bid sheets and an approved negotiation mandate. Price, specification, volume, delivery, payment, currency, Incoterms, inspection, acceptance, certificate package, traceability, change notification, warranty, replacement, credit, liquidated damages where legally appropriate, limitation of liability, intellectual property, confidentiality, termination, dispute route, and governing law are considered with qualified legal and tax advisers. BEIREK manages decision facts and dependencies; it does not issue legal opinions.

Supplier performance is reviewed against specification conformity, lot acceptance, on-time and in-full delivery, document completeness, responsiveness, corrective-action quality, unauthorized change, commercial compliance, service, and open claims. Deterioration triggers containment, increased inspection, development, alternative qualification, allocation change, suspension, or exit. The chosen action is linked to inventory, production, certification, customer, contract, and cash consequences so procurement does not optimize one category in isolation.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A3. EPC interface matrix

The interface matrix prevents gaps and clashes between owner inputs, design, construction, utilities, production equipment, controls, safety, and operations.

Interface field	Required content
Boundary	Physical, functional, data, schedule, document, or authority interface
Providing party	Accountable source of the input or work package
Receiving party	Accountable user and reviewer of the input
Requirement	Design basis, specification, dimension, load, protocol, or condition
Format and date	Required deliverable, version, due date, and information status
Tolerance and dependency	Acceptable range and upstream/downstream relationship
Review and approval	Technical, statutory, owner, and contractor roles
Installation ownership	Supply, prepare, install, connect, protect, and coordinate
Test and witness	Inspection method, protocol, hold point, and participants
Acceptance and residual risk	Closure evidence, deviation, owner, and remaining condition

Priority interfaces include foundations and anchors, floor loading and flatness, access and rigging, machine position, electrical power and isolation, compressed air and vacuum, water and cooling, exhaust and HVAC, fire protection, network and data protocols, safety circuits, lighting, laboratory conditions, material routes, warehouse, and maintenance access. The actual project basis governs the list.

The matrix is reviewed at design freeze, supplier drawing release, construction release, pre-shipment, pre-installation, energization, SAT, and handover. Acceptance requires every critical boundary to have one providing and one receiving owner, coordinated versions, resolved clashes, an approved test, and a closure record. A meeting note without updated source documents does not close an interface.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A3. EPC interface matrix

CONTINUED · 02

Interface workshops use the latest controlled drawings and supplier data. The team walks through space, sequence, utilities, controls, safety, access, installation, maintenance, material and people flow, testing, and abnormal conditions. Each assumption is either verified, assigned for verification, or identified as a design constraint. Model or drawing coordination findings retain location, affected packages, decision date, and implementation evidence; a visual clash resolution is not closed until the responsible documents and site work agree.

The interface matrix defines for every boundary the required input, providing party, receiving party, format, tolerance, date, review, approval, installation responsibility, test, acceptance, and residual risk. Typical interfaces include equipment foundations and anchors, ceiling height and access, floor flatness and loading, machine power and isolation, exhaust and cooling, compressed air, network and protocols, fire protection, material routes, cranes and rigging, laboratory utilities, and supplier commissioning support.

Late information is governed explicitly. When an equipment supplier, authority, or owner input misses the required date, the register shows downstream packages, rework exposure, schedule and cost effect, safe hold point, and escalation authority. Work may proceed on a bounded assumption only when the assumption, risk, reversibility, and verification date are approved. If the fact later differs, the change system determines disposition rather than silently absorbing the impact.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A4. FAT, SAT, commissioning, and acceptance record

The record preserves the distinction among supplier-site equipment testing, installed-site testing, integrated commissioning, and stage acceptance.

Record field	FAT	SAT	Commissioning and ramp transition
Tested configuration	Equipment, software, tooling, samples	Installed asset, utilities, interfaces	Integrated line, people, materials, controls
Preconditions	Approved protocol and supplier readiness	Installation, safety, calibrated tools	SAT disposition and operating readiness
Test basis	Specification and contract	Site conditions and interface requirements	Approved product, process, quality and ramp plan
Raw evidence	Measurements, events, samples, files	Utility, safety, function, data, output records	Trial lots, training, losses, quality, traceability
Deviation	Supplier exception and failed criterion	Site, supplier, contractor, or owner cause	Process, skill, material, equipment, or control gap
Disposition	Pass, conditional, retest, hold, reject	Pass, conditional, retest, hold	Progress, maintain stage, remediate, stop
Authority	Contract and technical roles	Contract, site, safety, technical roles	Client operations, quality, technical and gate roles
Closure	Corrective action, retest, shipment release	Punch closure and commissioning release	Stable-output and handover evidence

Each test line records requirement, method, instrument and calibration, input material, operating condition, expected range, actual result, raw source, witness, date, deviation, photo or file reference, action, retest, and signature or approval as applicable. Summary status cannot replace raw results.

The register is tested by selecting one failed or conditional criterion and tracing it through cause, contractual or technical disposition, correction, retest, residual risk, and stage decision. Acceptance requires no failed critical criterion to disappear into a general punch list and no later stage to be presented as approved solely because work continued.

Protocols define sample representativeness and repeat conditions. Material grade, module or cell configuration, software and recipe, operator intervention, warm-up, environmental conditions, excluded time, and measurement uncertainty are recorded when relevant. Test media and results are retained in their native form with controlled summaries. Video or photographs may support but do not replace calibrated measurement or the responsible technical judgment.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A4. FAT, SAT, commissioning, and acceptance record

CONTINUED · 02

FAT preparation freezes the test configuration, product and material samples, utilities, measurement methods, calibrated instruments, software version, data capture, safety checks, cycle and quality tests, changeover where required, documentation, witness roles, deviation rules, and shipment-release authority. Demonstrations selected by the supplier do not replace the approved protocol. A failed or incomplete test produces a disposition, corrective action, retest, concession, hold, or rejection decision under the contract and professional advice.

Conditional acceptance has an expiry, financial and operating consequence, compensating control, owner, and closure evidence. Safety interlocks, statutory conditions, critical quality functions, traceability, and material specification cannot be waived merely to protect shipment or schedule. The record distinguishes a contractual concession from technical conformance; only the authorized parties decide each. Handover includes all open warranties, software access, licenses, passwords under secure client control, spares, training, and support contacts.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A5. Ramp-up and OEE performance scorecard

The scorecard balances output with safety, equipment, quality, material, people, and cash conditions.

Dimension	Example measure fields	Decision use
Safety and prerequisites	Incidents, interlock status, utility condition, open critical punch	Stop or release stage
Planned time and availability	Scheduled time, failures, planned and unplanned stops	Maintenance and readiness
Performance	Standard basis, actual cycle, micro-stops, starvation, blocking	Constraint and method action
Quality	First-pass yield, rework, scrap, test failure, release	Product and process decision
Material	Lot availability, quarantine, consumption, variance, shelf life	Procurement and production
Flow	WIP, queue, bottleneck, output by station and product	Line balance and buffer control
People	Staffing, qualification, shift stability, training closure	Shift and capability release
Data	Missing events, unknown losses, source latency, reconciliation	Confidence and control action
Commercial and cash	Sellable release, inventory, shipment, acceptance, collection	Production pace and funding

Every metric has a formula, unit, source, owner, refresh frequency, product and shift context, target range, threshold, and data-quality status. OEE definitions identify planned time, ideal cycle basis, and treatment of changeover, no material, trials, rework, and excluded events. Targets never authorize bypass of quality or safety.

The scorecard supports daily ramp control, weekly constraint review, and gate decisions. A stage progresses only when required conditions repeat over the approved duration and mix. Acceptance requires losses to reconcile to source events, unknown categories to remain visible, actions to have owners and effectiveness checks, and capacity claims to state their tested conditions and limitations.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A5. Ramp-up and OEE performance scorecard

CONTINUED · 02

The ramp board records each planned step, product, material lot, shift, target operating window, sampling and test plan, expected output, stop criteria, decision authority, and prerequisite actions. An excursion produces containment and analysis before the next step. Management cannot offset a serious quality or safety failure with higher output elsewhere. Repeated micro-stops, manual recovery, blocked flow, and extraordinary specialist intervention remain part of the capacity assessment even when final output meets the daily total.

Daily ramp control reviews safety, incoming material, line availability, speed, quality loss, stoppages, rework, WIP, finished output, test failures, maintenance, staffing, and unresolved deviations. The team records causes rather than editing results to meet a target. A short favorable run does not establish sustained capacity. Progression requires repeated behavior within the approved range and closure of critical corrective actions.

OEE changes require definition control. If planned time, ideal cycle, product mix, quality denominator, or exclusions change, the scorecard preserves the old basis and shows the effect of the definition change. Improvement is separated into availability, rate, quality, scheduling, product mix, and data effects. The investment review tests whether gains persist after maintenance, training, material and working-capital costs and whether the next constraint reduces the total-system benefit.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A6. Quality and certification evidence chain

The evidence chain connects product requirements and material sources to production release, external review, buyer use, and warranty response.

Chain point	Required evidence	Control question
Product requirement	Approved specification, market and buyer need	What exact configuration is being claimed?
Design and BOM	Drawings, controlled BOM, approved suppliers	Do design and sourced materials match?
Incoming lot	Identity, certificate, sample, test, release	Was the used material approved?
Process	Recipe, equipment, parameter, operator role, time	Was the product made under controlled conditions?
In-process and final test	Method, calibration, raw result, disposition	Did the unit or lot pass valid tests?
Certification	Body, standard, model, site, BOM, validity	Is the external scope applicable and current?
Commercial document	Datasheet, label, warranty, contract, shipment	Does communication match approved evidence?
Field and warranty	Site evidence, event, containment, root cause	Can a problem be traced and controlled?

The chain records evidence level and limitation. Supplier statements, internal tests, accredited laboratory reports, certification decisions, factory history, buyer audits, and field outcomes are not described as equivalent. Changes in product, material, process, site, standard, or certificate status identify every affected downstream claim and customer record.

Incoming material control uses purchase specification, supplier and lot identity, transport and packaging condition, quantity, certificates, sampling plan, test results, quarantine status, release authority, and storage location. Materials cannot enter production because the schedule is urgent. Conditional use requires authorized technical and quality review, bounded quantity, traceability, customer and certification impact assessment, and a closure plan.

The use test selects one marketed product and traces its current data sheet and buyer submission backward to certification, BOM, supplier lots, process and test evidence. A reverse test starts with one defect or changed lot and identifies affected inventory, shipments, documents, and customers. Acceptance requires successful traceability, authorized disclosure, controlled gaps, and no unsupported reliability or financeability conclusion.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A6. Quality and certification evidence chain

CONTINUED · 02

Evidence packages are assembled by purpose rather than copied indiscriminately. A certification body, lender's technical adviser, OEM audit, project buyer, insurer, or internal release may require different sources and confidentiality. The package index states recipient, question, applicable product and site, information date, included evidence, excluded or unavailable evidence, limitations, approval, and distribution record. Sensitive supplier, customer, personal, and security information is released only under client authority and applicable requirements.

The evidence index records source owner, date, scope, method, status, limitations, version, confidentiality, and approved use. Marketing statements do not exceed the source. Missing history, a new factory, a changed BOM, or limited sample is disclosed rather than hidden behind general technology claims.

A material change simulation tests propagation. When one approved material, process setting, manufacturing site, or certificate condition is changed, the team identifies affected qualification, design, certification, production, inventory, data sheet, contract, shipment, and warranty records. Production and sales remain held where review is required. The chain passes only when affected units and communications can be bounded without relying on memory or manual reconciliation by one expert.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A7. OEM and buyer-agreement control

The control converts commercial demand into product, capacity, cash, and responsibility conditions the factory can execute.

Agreement field	Factory control
Buyer and authority	Verified entity, signatory, credit and decision roles
Product and BOM	Exact configuration, approved variants, change authority
Certification and documents	Applicable scope, submissions, audit and update duties
Forecast and order	Nonbinding forecast, binding order, call-off, cancellation
Capacity and materials	Slot, product mix, allocation, inventory and owner
Price and payment	Currency, adjustment, deposit, milestone, credit, collection
Quality and acceptance	Inspection, sampling, release, rejection and evidence
OEM and brand	Label, IP, packaging, customer ownership and permitted claims
Warranty and field action	Coverage, evidence, remedy, reserve, recall and recovery
Logistics and export	Incoterms, packaging, customs, insurance and destination roles
Change and exit	Amendments, termination, residual stock, documents and tooling

The agreement record links commercial terms to the controlled product configuration, capacity plan, material commitments, certification scope, quality plan, cash model, logistics, warranty reserve, and legal-adviser input. A high contract value cannot override negative cash timing, unqualified material, absent certification, or unavailable capacity.

The use test selects an order and traces it from buyer requirement through contract, production slot, BOM, material, quality release, documents, shipment, acceptance, invoice, and collection. Nonstandard work must show engineering and commercial authorization. Acceptance requires no material promise to remain outside factory systems and no production commitment to depend on an unrecorded side agreement.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A7. OEM and buyer-agreement control

CONTINUED · 02

The commercial review compares forecast, binding commitment, cancellation exposure, price and adjustment, material purchase, production reservation, credit, collection, warranty, and termination under base and downside cases. A buyer may be strategically important while still requiring a deposit, credit limit, narrower product scope, staged capacity reservation, or concentration control. Unapproved customization is not hidden in a general product code or absorbed through manual factory effort.

Buyer agreements are connected to capacity and cash. A forecast, framework, reservation, purchase order, call-off, accepted shipment, invoice, and collection have different evidentiary weight. Volume commitments are tested against product mix, line balance, qualified material, certification, packaging, logistics, working capital, concentration, and downside rights. Commercial urgency does not override technical release or customer-credit authority.

Order change follows a controlled impact assessment. Quantity, delivery, specification, label, packaging, certificate, destination, payment, or inspection changes identify affected material, production, tests, documents, freight, cash, and other customers. The client records approval and contract effect before execution. At closure, residual branded material, dedicated tooling, confidential files, open claims, warranty obligations, receivables, and customer data have explicit ownership and disposition.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A8. Factory governance and risk register

The register integrates project, operating, commercial, and professional responsibility without collapsing them into one owner.

Register field	Required content
Item and category	Scope, schedule, cost, cash, technical, quality, safety, supply, market, legal, people, data
Source and date	Contract, drawing, test, system, expert opinion, event, or assumption
Cause and event	Condition that creates or triggers the exposure
Impact	Safety, compliance, product, capacity, quality, cash, customer, reputation
Current control	Prevention, detection, containment, contract, reserve, alternative
Residual exposure	Remaining likelihood, severity, uncertainty, and reversibility
Accountable owner	Party with authority and resources to act
Dependency and adviser	Required decision, input, professional view, or external party
Action and due date	Specific response, milestone, resource, and evidence
Gate consequence	Proceed, conditional, hold, remediate, stop, or reopen
Closure	Verified result, residual risk acceptance, version and approver

The risk register is linked to the interface, change, decision, schedule, cost, cash, quality, and action records. A high-level score never cancels a safety, legal, certification, or irreversible exposure. Opportunities and upside assumptions are recorded separately so they cannot reduce the visibility of downside risks through arithmetic.

Governance reviews active triggers and overdue actions weekly, aggregate exposure and cash monthly, and irreversible commitments at gates. Closure is tested through source evidence and effectiveness, not a verbal status. Acceptance requires every critical risk to have an appropriately authorized owner, professional dependencies to remain explicit, conditional approvals to expire or close, and the client to sustain the register after handover.

TECHNICAL MODULE

A8. Factory governance and risk register

CONTINUED · 02

Risk scenarios are connected across workstreams. For example, a delayed certificate may hold buyer approval, create inventory and cash exposure, change production sequencing, and breach a financing condition. A supplier quality event may affect BOM alternatives, OEE, shipments, warranty, and reputation. The register therefore records linked items and the aggregate decision rather than assigning isolated actions that compete for the same cash or specialist capacity.

Program controls integrate approved scope, work breakdown, schedule, cost and commitments, cash, procurement, submittals, interfaces, risks, changes, quality, testing, decisions, actions, and benefits. The baseline is versioned. Progress is evidenced by completed and accepted work rather than invoice or reported percentage alone. Critical-path assumptions, float, owner inputs, permits, long-lead items, and decision dates remain visible.

The handover test introduces a realistic trigger such as a failed incoming lot, late utility, unsuccessful SAT criterion, buyer change, cash delay, or field complaint. Client owners update the risk, determine containment, obtain required expert input, assess schedule and cash effects, prepare the decision, exercise the correct authority, and close or escalate the action. Governance is accepted only when this sequence works from source evidence to verified outcome without BEIREK operating the system.

PROGRAM CONCLUSION

CONCLUSION

Solar Module Factory Platform Management

Solar Module Factory Platform Management connects product-market logic, technology, BOM, sourcing, finance, EPC, installation, FAT, SAT, commissioning, ramp-up, OEE, quality, certification evidence, warranty, OEM commitments, and governance through owner-authorized decisions. BEIREK makes requirements, interfaces, evidence, responsibilities, risks, and acceptance conditions executable without assuming the obligations of contractors, specialists, lenders, or buyers. The intended handover is a client-controlled management system that distinguishes installed equipment from accepted production capacity and reported progress from a closed decision gate.